

# Are mixed electoral systems the best choice for Central and Eastern Europe or the reason for defective party systems?

Daniel Bochsler,

Centre for the Study of Imperfections in Democracies, Central European University

Centre for Comparative and International Studies, ETH/University of Zurich<sup>°</sup>

Forthcoming in *Politics & Policy*, August 2009.

## Online Appendix

### Replication of the model with non-lagged control variables

Dependent variable: **party fractionalisation**

	(1)	Coef.	RSE	(2)	Coef.	RSE	(3)	Coef.	RSE
<b>mean function</b>									
constant	0.759	0.366		1.286	0.163		1.217	0.150	
mixed system	-0.143	0.122		-0.075	0.118		-0.074	0.125	
majority vote	-0.095	0.761		-0.036	0.451		-0.387	0.351	
nr of election	-0.029	0.037		0.018	0.032		0.039	0.033	
ln(gdp) lag	0.095**	0.046							
unempl lag				0.002	0.005				
growth lag						0.003	0.005		
<b>variance function</b>									
constant	2.191	1.449		-1.252	0.546		-1.335	0.581	
mixed system	0.802**	0.386		0.842**	0.387		0.791**	0.402	
majority vote	1.776**	0.808		1.230	0.751		0.782	0.949	
nr of election	-0.075	0.159		-0.285**	0.120		-0.151	0.147	
ln(gdp) lag	-0.516**	0.203							
unempl lag				0.016	0.014				
growth lag						-0.047*	0.029		
N	83			87			80		
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.2618			0.1684			0.1938		
VWLS R <sup>2</sup>	0.0686			0.0139			0.0437		
$\chi^2$ mean function	6.88			1.01			4.18		
$\chi^2$ variance function	24.31***			16.12***			14.55***		

<sup>°</sup> Central European University, Nador utca 9, H-1051 Budapest, Hungary, E-mail: bochsler@ipz.uzh.ch

Dependent variable: **disproportionality**

	(4) Coef.	RSE	(5) Coef.	RSE	(6) Coef.	RSE
<b>mean function</b>						
constant	14.025	3.876	7.468	1.247	6.585	1.649
mixed system	6.005***	1.586	4.940***	1.737	6.520***	1.772
majority vote	17.622*	10.467	27.849***	4.517	6.916	7.005
nr of election	-0.043	0.481	0.009	0.236	-0.020	0.378
ln(gdp) lag	-0.926	0.642	-	-	-	-
unempl lag			0.066***	0.021	-0.081**	0.038
growth lag						
<b>variance function</b>						
constant	4.441	1.966	3.710	1.051	3.060	0.892
mixed system	1.447***	0.550	1.567***	0.611	1.595***	0.561
majority vote	2.689***	0.867	2.451***	0.943	3.437***	0.911
nr of election	-0.091	0.293	-0.091	0.230	-0.231	0.232
ln(gdp) lag	-0.223	0.334	-	-	-	-
unempl lag			0.079***	0.016	-	-
growth lag					0.065**	0.033
N	83		84		79	
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.1325		0.1475		0.128	
VWLS R <sup>2</sup>	0.2452		0.3814		0.2152	
$\chi^2$ mean function	30.99***		61.40***	30.99***		
$\chi^2$ variance function	22.78***		63.30***	22.78***		

Dependent variable: **volatility**

	(7) Coef.	RSE	(8) Coef.	RSE	(9) Coef.	RSE
<b>mean function</b>						
constant	37.450	7.350	19.410	4.608	16.354	4.098
mixed system	2.698	2.153	3.668	2.343	1.776	2.341
majority vote						
nr of election	0.717	0.799	-0.459	0.917	-0.081	0.851
2 <sup>nd</sup> election	1.640	2.500	1.231	3.580	1.130	3.690
ln(gdp) lag	-	3.022***	0.825			
unempl lag			-0.098	0.068		
growth lag					-0.030	0.067
<b>variance function</b>						
constant	8.625	1.746	5.061	1.184	3.783	0.783
mixed system	0.465	0.447	0.333	0.417	0.648	0.406
majority vote						
nr of election	0.169	0.202	-0.160	0.230	0.147	0.187
2 <sup>nd</sup> election	-0.902	0.697	-0.683	0.714	-0.920	0.719
ln(gdp) lag	-	0.684***	0.228			
unempl lag			-0.021	0.019		
growth lag					0.123***	0.041
N	70		70		68	
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.0476		0.0163		0.0294	
VWLS R <sup>2</sup>	0.1995		0.0877		0.0219	
$\chi^2$ mean function	26.66***		8.21*		2.24	
$\chi^2$ variance function	13.44***		3.54		12.74**	

Table A2: Variance model, parameter estimates for the mean model and the variance model, and robust standard errors (RSE). Non-lagged control variables.

\* p<0.1; \*\* p<0.05; \*\*\* p<0.01